SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: Some of them have perhaps been audited but they have not been approved by the Board of Directors. Anyway, I cannot say anything about all the other Accounts here. But relating to this particular Account, the Board of Directors approved the Accounts only on 27th January, 1995.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Did you call for an explanation as to why the Accounts of 1991-92 had been approved so late?

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: I have just stated to you...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: What is their explanation?

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: It will take time...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now I think that there is a Committee on Papers Laid on the Table.. If there are some major disputes, don't take the time of the House.

Why don't you write to the Committee and get an explanation, if it is a minor kind of explanation?

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: It is not a minor one. It relates to the year 1991-92. He says the CAG or the AG is responsible.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The fomer CAG is not speaking in his capacity as a former CAG. I permit him to speak as a Member of this House. Whatever he was in his previous occupation he has retired after that.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI (Uttar Pradesh): I think these reports should not have come here but at that time they were under the President's rule. They were under the President's rule. I think the matter needs to be clarified. But the point made by Shri Satish Agarwal is true that there must have been lots of difficulties in compiling the later accounts.

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: I have told you that I have not looked into the latter accounts at all.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I would like to say that it has to be presented here because under the Companies Act it has to be presented to the Parliament. He has explained about the delay.

THE SATISH AGARWAL: There was a popular Government thereafter in the State of Madhya Pradesh and also in Uttar Pradesh. There is an unexplainable delay as far as these reports are concerned.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: It has to be submitted to the State Government.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think if you have any objection or some doubts in spite of the explanation of the hon. Minister, you may raise the point before the Committee on Papers Laid on the Table and then you can get the information. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Madam, we are objecting in the House, you may give a direction in the House that it may be referred to the Committee.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Okay, I give a direction, let the Committee look into it.

(1) Reports and Accounts (1994-95) of the Auroville Foundation, Auroville, Tamil Nadu and related papers.

(2) Reports and Accounts (1994-95) of various Educational Institutes and related papers.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPTT. OF EDUCATION IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MUHI RAM SAIKAI) Madam: I lay on the Table:—

I. (1) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers, under section 24 of

Auroville Foundation Act, 1988:—

(i) Annual Report of the Auroville Foundation Auroville, Tamil Nadu for the year 1994-95.

(ii) Annual Accounts of the Auroville Foundation, Auroville, Tamil Nadu for the year 1994-95, and the Audit Report thereon.

(iii) Review by Government on the working of the above Foundation.

(2) Statement (in English and Hindi) giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (1) above.

[Placed in Library. *See No. LT-427/96]*

II. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:—

(i) (a)Annual Report of the Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, for the year 1994-95.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Institute.

(ii) (a)Annual Accounts of the Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, for the year 1994-95, and the Audit Report thereon, under sub-section (4) of Section 23 of the Institute of Technology Act, 1961.

(b) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (i) and (ii) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-421/96]

(iii) (a)Annual Report of the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, for the year 1994-95.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Institute.

(iv) (a)Annual Accounts of the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, for the year 1994-95, and the Audit Report thereon, under sub-section (4) of Section 23 of the Institute of Technology Act, 1961.

(b) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (iv) and (v) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-420/96]

(v) (a) Annual Report of the Bal Bhavan Society India, New Delhi, for the year 1994-95.

(vi) Annual Accounts of the Bal Bhavan Society India, New Delhi, for the year 1994-95, and the Audit Report thereon.

(c) Review by Government on the working of the above Society.

(d) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) and (b) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-411/96]

(vii) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Indian Council of Historical Research, New Delhi, for the year 1994-95, together with Audit Report on the Accounts.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Councile.

(c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-413/96]

(viii) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Primary Education Development Society of Kerala, for the year 1994-95, together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Society.

(c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-35596]

(ix) (a) Annual Report of the All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94.

(b) Annual Accounts of the All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94, and the Audit Report thereon.

(c) Review by Government on the working of the above Society.

(d) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) and (b) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-41696]

(x) (a) Annual Accounts of the Central Tibeten Schools Administration, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94, and the Audit Report thereon.

(b) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the paper mentioned at (a) above.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-42896]

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Similarly, with regard to....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, Mr. Agarwal, don't disturb the House. The Member is laying the Papers.

श्री सतीश अग्रवाल: इस में भी डायरेक्शन दे दीजिए।

उपसभापति: बोलने तो दीजिए।

श्री सतीश अग्रवाल: मैंने आप को लिखकर दे दिया था।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Never mind you wrote to me, let him finish and then you speak. There is a very unprecedented procedure which is developing in this House that while a person is speaking and I have identified the Minister to lay his papers, let him finish. Have some patience as I show my patience. Then I will permit you to get up and then complain and I will comply with your complaints.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: So we should object to the laying of the papers after the papers are laid!

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, you cannot interrupt him while he is laying. By your objection I am not telling him not to lay. This is the appropriate time...

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: This is the appropriate time to ask him to lay the papers.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Then you ask him to lay the papers. I have given in writing that I am objecting to it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Then he will give the explanation. Are you objecting that he should not lay the papers on the Table at all?

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: No, no, he should lay the papers but what are the reasons for the delay?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Then he can give the reasons after he has laid.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: I have mentioned that in II, Sub-clause (x) and (xi) regarding 1993-94. Only those two.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Agarwalji, until and unless he has laid the papers on the Table of the House, they don't become the property of the House. Anything which is not the property of the House, you cannot raise any objection about it. So, I am absolutely right in the procedure. Let it becaome the property of the house; then you can make your objection. Let the Minister explain the reasons for the delay.

उपसभापति: मंत्री जी, ऑब्जेक्शन का जवाब दीजिए।

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Regarding Item Nos. (x) and (xi), the Annual Report of the All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94; Annual Accounts of the All India Council for Technical Educaiton, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94; and the Central Tibetan Schools Administration, New Delhi, for the year 1993-94, why are these reports being laid so late? What is the explanation that you have given? I have not been able to get the explanation here.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: What happened to the subsequent report of the Tibetan Schools; that is another point.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Have you brought any explanation as to why there is the delay, Mr. Minister?

SHRI MUHI RAM SAIKIA: No, I have not brought any explanation.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Why Mr. Minister, may I ask?

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Madam, he has given the explanation. He is wrong. He has not read it. He has given the explantation. *(Interruptions)* He has given the explanation here statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned above. He has not done his homework well. Nobody does his homework well. That is the whole problem. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: Perhaps, the hon. Minister didn't expect such a question. The explanation will be given later.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bommai, you are a Member of this House and on previous occasions also you have seen it. Isn't it?

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: Yes, I agree, Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is not a Member of our House. So, he doesn't know what we do.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Mr. Bommai, who is a senior Minister, also seems to be on the same wave-length. he says that the explanation has not been given. I am saying that the reasons have been mentioned here. you have given the reasons.

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: You wanted to know over and above that.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: But I am asking you about this, 1994-95. Are you aware of that? You are running a Department. you went to Atlanta and didn't get the entry pass and you came back! What are you doing, after all? How do you enter this house? You have an entry pass to this House, but you should not come like this. you should come prepared—all of you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All Right that matter is closed now.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: What is your directive, Madam?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: My directions have been umpteen. The Ministers should come with the explanation. They have come with the explanation which is not satisfactory. You see, the laying of papers should not become a procedural issue, that somebody writes that he will lay the papers mentioned against his name. These papers become the property of the House and on the basis of these reports and papers laid on the Table of the House, the Membes go into the details.

That is why the House has constituted a Committee to go into the laying of papers. It is a very serious matter. So, I through you, Mr. Minister, tell the Government that all the Ministers, while laying papers on the Table of the House, should not take it casually and that they should take it seriously because we have very vigilant Members in the Rajya Sabha who look at every comma and fullstop. So, for your own safety, you should be very well-prepared by people who brief you. This is my ruling. It should not happen the next time.

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: They help the Government in funcitoning properly.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes, they help the Government because in this way the Members make the Government also vigilant about the issue. It is a good thing. Yes, Shri Satpal Maharaj.

Notification of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board)

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SATPALJI MAHARAJ): Madam I lay on the Table, under Seciton 199 of the Railways Act, 1989 a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) Notificaiton G.S.R. No. 267(E) dated the 4th July, 1996 publishing the Railways Red Tariff (54th Amendment) Rules, 1996. (Placed in Library. *See* No. LT. 432/96)

REPORTS OF THE DEPARTMENT RELATED PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON HOME AFFAIRS

SHRI SOM PAL (UTTAR PRADESH): Madam, I present a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Reports of the Committee on Home Affairs—

(i) Thirty-second Report on the Demands for Grants (1996-97 of the Ministry of Home Affirs;

(ii) Thirty-third Report on the Demands for Grants (1996-97) of the ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions; and

(iii) Thirty-fourth Report on the Demands for Grants (1996-97) of the Ministry of Law, Justice and Company Affaris.

REPORTS OF THE DEPARTMENT-RELATED PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, this is about the Reports of the Department-Related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Industry. Yesterday, there was a dispute about it. I heard both the parties and then I had a discussion with the hon. Chiarman. I prepared my report and showed it to the hon. Chairman. In the light of the report, I had another discussion with the hon. Chairman. The hon. Chairman said that the Reports should be laid on the Table of the House. So, Shri Ashok Mitra, please.

MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE (Maharashtra): What about my objections?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes, I had discussions with the hon. Chairman, later on with you and then again with Mr. Ashok Mitra. The footnote that is given in the Committee's Report is sufficient.

कुमारी सरोज खापर्डे: मैडम मैंने तो आपको सिर्फ इतना ही कहा था वह पैराग्राफ अगर नहीं विदड्रा करना चाहते है तो मेरा जो नोट आफ डिसेंट है, उसको तो अपनी रिपोर्ट में इन्सर्ट करें। आप मेरा राइट भी छीनना चाहते हैं?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am not taking away anybody's right. But according to the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Committees, which have been laid down by the hon. Chairman, it is correct. That's all and whatever the hon. Chairman's directions are, I am doing it accordingly.